

A Compositional Typed Higher-Order Logic with Definitions

Ingmar Dasseville - Matthias Van Der Hallen -Bart Bogaerts - Gerda Janssens - Marc Denecker



International Conference on Logic Programming, 2016

Goal in KR:

- build expressive logics
- by integrating useful and expressive language constructs
- in a meaning preserving way



To add aggregate expressions to logic programming and ASP: many effort years, several PhD's and many papers.



To add a nested cardinality aggregate Card to classical logic:

- New syntactical rule in definition of term:
 - $Card(\{x: \varphi\})$ is a term if φ is a formula
- New semantical rule in definition of term evaluation:
 - $(Card(\{x: \varphi\})^{\mathscr{I}} = #(\{d \mid \mathscr{I}[x:d] \models \varphi\})$



To add a nested cardinality aggregate Card to classical logic:

- New syntactical rule in definition of term:
 - $Card(\{x: \phi\})$ is a term if ϕ is a formula
- New semantical rule in definition of term evaluation:
 - $(Card(\{x: \varphi\})^{\mathscr{I}} = \#(\{d \mid \mathscr{I}[x:d] \models \varphi\})$

We are ready.



Developing a compositional method to extend rule sets under well-founded and stable semantics with new language constructs.



Last Year: Adding templates to KR languagesResult: Framework for adding language constructs and building logicsThis Year: Building a general logic including compositionality principles



Definition (Compositionality according to Frege)

The meaning of a complex expression is determined by the meanings of its constituent expressions and the rules used to combine them



The semantics for a logic L and a language constructs C must satisfy:

 $Sem_L(C(e_1,...,e_n)) = Sem_C(Sem_L(e_1),...,Sem_L(e_n))$

KU LEUVEN

What is $Sem_L(C(e_1,...,e_n))$ mathematically?

- Logic expressions express "information"
- Infon : mathematical semantical object to express information
 - Function from structures to values
 - \bullet = A quantum of information
 - Confer intensional objects (e.g., Montague)

KU LEUVEN

What is $Sem_L(C(e_1,...,e_n))$ mathematically?

- Logic expressions express "information"
- Infon : mathematical semantical object to express information
 - Function from structures to values
 - \bullet = A quantum of information
 - Confer intensional objects (e.g., Montague)

Infon of $p \lor q$ Maps $\{p\}$ to *True* Maps $\{\}$ to *False*



What is $Sem_L(C(e_1,...,e_n))$ mathematically?

- Logic expressions express "information"
- Infon : mathematical semantical object to express information
 - Function from structures to values
 - \bullet = A quantum of information
 - Confer intensional objects (e.g., Montague)

Infon of $p \lor q$ Maps $\{p\}$ to *True* Maps $\{\}$ to *False* Infon of c+3Maps $\{c=5\}$ to 8

A Compositional Typed Higher-Order Logic with Definitions

KU LEUVEN

Syntax: Extend the set of valid expressions



Syntax: Extend the set of valid expressionsTyping: Not all expressions within the grammar are sensible (e.g. 1+"hello")



Syntax: Extend the set of valid expressionsTyping: Not all expressions within the grammar are sensible (e.g. 1+"hello")

Semantics: What infon corresponds to the expression?



A language expression C is:

• Abstract syntax $C(e_1,...,e_n)$



A language expression C is:

- Abstract syntax $C(e_1,...,e_n)$
- Typing function on types: $Typ_C(type_1,...,type_n)$ such that

 $Typ_L(C(e_1,...,e_n)) = Typ_C(Typ_L(e_1),...,Typ_L(e_n))$

KU LEUVEN

A language expression C is:

- Abstract syntax $C(e_1,...,e_n)$
- Typing function on types: $Typ_C(type_1,...,type_n)$ such that

 $Typ_L(C(e_1,...,e_n)) = Typ_C(Typ_L(e_1),...,Typ_L(e_n))$

• Semantic function on infons: $Sem_C(Infon_1, \ldots, Infon_n)$ such that

 $Sem_L(C(e_1,...,e_n)) = Sem_C(Sem_L(e_1),...,Sem_L(e_n))$

A Compositional Typed Higher-Order Logic with Definitions

KU LEUVEN

Simply typed lambda calculus

- Higher order types
- Lambda Abstractions
- Definitions
 - Higher order Rules
 - Well-founded/stable semantics, lifted

KU LEUVEN

Higher Order Definitions

```
{
    ∀cur ∀Move ∀ IsWon:
    win(cur, Move, IsWon) ← IsWon(cur) ∨
        ∃ nxt : Move(cur, nxt) ∧ lose(nxt, Move, IsWon).
    ∀cur ∀Move ∀IsWon:
    lose(cur, Move, IsWon) ← ¬IsWon(cur) ∧
        ∀ nxt : Move(cur, nxt) ⇒ win(nxt, Move, IsWon).
}
```



KU LEUV

- Meaning of a logical expression is an infon.
- Composionality obtained using Frege's principle.
- Integration of common logical and functional language constructs.
- Simplifying current and enabling new applications.
- But we need solvers!